

## ATTENDANCE LISTS

### Residents

Name	Address
H & J Fineman	Mill Farm Close
K & A Fineman	Mill Farm Close
Ravi Panara	Mill Farm Close
P. Omkaraaruban	Mill Farm Close
S. Alderson	Mill Farm Close
Najat Shariff	Mill Farm Close
S.Hergaja	Mill Farm Close
J. Starett & friend	Mill Farm Close
S. Gudaal	Mill Farm Close
J. Begum	Mill Farm Close
Y.Osman	Mill Farm Close
D. Davis	Mill Farm Close
Rhianna Everitt	Mill Farm Close
Abi Sanusi	Mill Farm Close
H. Sidelique	Mill Farm Close
Erin Cutler	Mill Farm Close
H. Chin	Mill Farm Close
J. Webb & friend (also Mill Farm resident)	Mill Farm Close
R. Patel	Mill Farm Close

### LB Harrow

Elaine Slowe	London Borough of Harrow Housing
Alison Pegg	London Borough of Harrow Housing

### Independent Housing Consultants

Chris Hearn	First Call
Louis Blair	First Call

### Catalyst Team

David Rayner	Higgins Construction
Judith Marshall (JM)	Pollard Thomas Edwards Architects
Annalisa Spencer (AS)	Pollard Thomas Edwards Architects

## 1.00

### MEETING INTRODUCTION & Powerpoint presentation

JM introduced tonight's workshop – Masterplan review and explained the agenda for the evening.

A powerpoint presentation was shown to residents:

- what they said previously about the existing estate
- what they said previously about the proposed masterplan shown to them
- how residents' previous comments have changed the masterplan design so far and what issues have been addressed in response to what they said.

JM asked residents to think about green spaces and security both by day and by night, and explained that this has formed a central part of the design. Residents were shown landscape and gardens features that they previously said were important.

JM asked residents to consider if they still think these things are important.

AS introduced the 3 workshops and described to residents what they would be doing in each one. They were asked to split into 4 even-sized groups to start the workshops.

## 2.00

### **Notes form workshop exercise 1 – have we got it right? green spaces & security**

Residents were each given a 3d image of the proposed scheme with 9 actions marked on that have been done as a result of their feedback in previous workshops.

They were asked to rate these actions: most important/ quite important/ not important at all. There was an opportunity for each resident to comment on each action and also to note any actions they feel have been missed.

#### **Comments on importance of actions:**

- Generally residents agreed that all the actions were at least 'quite important', with some being 'most important'.
- Whilst all residents had very individual opinions, all agreed the following actions are important:
  - improved levels of external lighting
  - all parking to be overlooked
  - traffic calming measures to ensure pedestrian safety
  - private gardens
- All group members had valuable suggestions for additional actions which they feel are important and should be incorporated in the design. The additional actions mainly related to parking, play areas and traffic calming measures.
- Some residents also had useful comments about boundaries to green spaces and their treatment.

## 3.00

### **Notes form workshop exercise 2 – what do you want to see on 'the green'?**

Each group was given an A1 sheet showing 2 questions:

1) diagrams showed existing and proposed green spaces at the front of buildings, as well as existing and proposed gardens at the rear of buildings. Residents were asked if they like the location of the proposed 'green', and if they like the proposed gardens.

#### **Comments on the proposed 'green' and gardens:**

- Most groups agreed that the proposed 'green' and the proposed gardens are in the right places
- All groups liked the fact that there would be private gardens for the houses and ground floor flats

2) 3d images of the green were shown alongside different activities, numbered 1 – 8. The activities shown had been identified by residents, in the previous masterplan workshop, as things they might want to do in this green space.

Groups were asked which activities they want to see on the green and to give each activity a number indicating its preference next to the other activities. Groups then had to show whereabouts on the 3d image they would want this activity to take place.

#### **Comments on 'the green' and which activities people want to see included:**

- There were many differences of opinion about which activities are preferred on the green. All groups realised that it would be difficult to prioritise which activities should take place. Most people agreed that there needed to be a place for young children to play.
- Lots of residents said there should be an area for ball sports to be played. This

was objected to though by residents who feel this might attract groups of teenagers and thus make the green unusable for those who just want to enjoy the green space, sit on bench, walk through it etc.

- Some residents said that as long as they had a private garden they did not need designated space for children to play or to plant flowers.
- Some of the elder residents said they did not want to live near a play area or ball court as it would be noisy for them.
- Many residents agreed that a good compromise would be a flexible activity space

#### 4.00

#### **Notes form workshop exercise 3 – parking, roads and paving**

Each group was given an A1 sheet showing 2 questions:

1) Groups were shown road and paving treatments that they said they liked in previous workshops. They were asked if they still think these are right for Mill Farm Close.

#### **Comments on roads and paving:**

- Of the 5 images shown, the groups generally agreed they were all still right for Mill Farm Close.
- Some groups did not like the image of the textured surface used to suggest car and pedestrian control.

2) Groups were shown a proposed layout of 193 parking spaces – 1 space per dwelling. They were asked to say if they liked the layout and give their reasoning. Groups were challenged to find any extra spaces for a parking place had been missed from the plan.

Parking had been mentioned by many residents in the previous exercises as it is obviously a major concern to many. This exercise gave residents a chance to see what is proposed in terms of parking and to give their opinion on the layout.

#### **Comments on 'the parking layout:**

- All groups had very strong feelings about parking and expressed it is a major concern
- Most groups liked the layout as they realised there would be more parking spaces than they currently have
- Many residents are concerned that 1:1 parking does not provide enough spaces as they have more than 1 car per 1 home, or they are worried about where their visitors will park.
- Some residents preferred the clustered parking in 'the mews', 'the courtyard' and 'the close' to the parking along the street and in the larger car parks.
- All groups agreed it was almost impossible to find any more spaces
- Some residents said they didn't want to use the green and they would rather park their cars in this space.

#### 5.00

#### **Summary**

JM did a brief summary of tonight's 3 workshop exercises. A representative from each group explained the most important points they had discussed in each exercise. All residents agreed that they had discussed some very important issues and it was clear residents had differing opinions on many of the issues raised.

JM explained to residents that the exercises they had taken part in will be used to help develop the design further, and that their opinions and suggestions have been valuable.

**6.00**

**Additional notes:**

S. Alderson, of 17 Mill Farm Close, requested that a site plan be sent to this address.

The resident of 95 Mill Farm Close is wheelchair bound and cannot attend the meetings, He has asked Chris Hearn of First Call if a member of the Catalyst team could come and see him to explain the proposals.

**7.00**

**Next meeting:**

Thursday 2<sup>nd</sup> April: Design Workshop 5 – Masterplan Review  
7.00pm at Pinner Hall